| IN THE | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | 1 | INDEX |
|--|--|---|---|
| 80 | UTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO | 2 | |
| | WESTERN DIVISION | 3 MICHAEL F. HARTINGS, Ph | 1.D. PAGE |
| | | 4 EXAMINATION BY MR. R | KOBERTS 5 |
| | | 5 | |
| |) | 6 | |
| ERIC L. JEFFRIES, |) | / EXHIBITS | MARKED REFERENCED |
| Plaintiff, |)) Case No. | 8 EXHIBIT 17 | - 64 |
| VS. |) C-1-02-351 | EXHIBIT 66 9 EXHIBIT 67 | - 172 - 180 |
| CENTRE LIFE INSURANCE et al., | Ξ Φ., | EXHIBIT 69 10 EXHIBIT 77 | - 30 - 6 |
| Defendants |) | EXHIBIT 79 | - 135 136 136 |
| | | EXHIBIT 81 12 EXHIBIT 82 | 39 39 62 62 |
| | • | EXHIBIT 83 | 138 139 |
| Deposition of | MICHAEL F. HARTINGS, Ph.D. | EXHIBIT 69-A 14 EXHIBIT 69-B | 43 43 45 45 |
| Pursuant to: | Notice | EXHIBIT 69-C 15 EXHIBIT 69-D | 48 48 51 51 |
| Date and Time | | EXHIBIT 69-E 16 EXHIBIT 69-F | 71 71 74 74 |
| | 9:55 a.m. | EXHIBIT 69-G 17 EXHIBIT 69-H | 83 83 84 84 |
| Place: | Graydon, Head & Ritchey, LLP 1900 Fifth Third Center | EXHIBIT 69-I 18 EXHIBIT 69-J | 84 84 86 86 |
| } | 511 Walnut Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 | EXHIBIT 69-K | 90 90 |
|) | | EXHIBIT 69-L 20 EXHIBIT 69-M | 91 91 91 91 |
| Reporter: | Patti Stachler, RMR, CRR Notary Public - State of Ohio | EXHIBIT 69-N | 91 92 92 92 92 |
| . | | 21 EXHIBIT 69-0 EXHIBIT 69-P | 93 93 |
| 2 | | 22 EXHIBIT 69-Q EXHIBIT 69-R | 94 94 95 95 |
| 3 | | 23 EXHIBIT 69-S EXHIBIT 69-T | 95 95 96 96 |
| 1 | | 24 EXHIBIT 69-U | 97 97 |
| | 1 | 25 | 3 |
| APPEARANCES OF COUN | | 1 | |
| 4 o Graydo 5 1900 F 511 Wa | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP lifth Third Center lnut Street | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z | MARKED REFERENCED 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP lifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-AA EXHIBIT 69-BB | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 5 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP lifth Third Center Inut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-AA EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CD | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP lifth Third Center Inut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-DD 8 EXHIBIT 69-FF | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, IIP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: m R. Ellis, Esq. | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, IIP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: m R. Ellis, Esq. | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-W 5 EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-AA EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-HH 10 EXHIBIT 69-II | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae M | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, IIP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: m R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, IIP ne Street | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-DD 8 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-HI 10 EXHIBIT 69-HI | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 112 112 114 114 114 114 115 115 122 122 125 125 |
| For the pla Michae M | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-FH 10 EXHIBIT 69-II | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-HH 10 EXHIBIT 69-II | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-HH 10 EXHIBIT 69-II | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 112 112 114 114 114 114 115 115 122 122 125 125 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 2 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-W 5 EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-II 11 12 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 2 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-DD 8 EXHIBIT 69-DD 8 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-GG EXHIBIT 69-HH 10 EXHIBIT 69-II 11 12 13 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-EE EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-HH 10 EXHIBIT 69-II 11 12 13 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-W 5 EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-HH 10 EXHIBIT 69-II 11 12 13 14 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo Graydo Graydo Graydo For the def Willia Woods 600 Vi Suite Cincin 2 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-AA EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-II 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-X EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-EE EXHIBIT 69-EE EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-II 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-W 5 EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-HH 10 EXHIBIT 69-II 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae Graydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-AA EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-FI 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae OGraydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-W 5 EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-HH 11 EXHIBIT 69-II 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae OGRAYDO DOMESTINE FOR THE DEFINITION FOR THE DEFINITION FOR THE DEFINITION FOR THE DEFINITION WOOD & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 2 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-AA EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-CC EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-FI 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |
| For the pla Michae OGraydo 1900 F 511 Wa Cincin 513.62 For the def Willia Wood & 600 Vi Suite Cincin 513.85 | l A. Roberts, Esq. f n, Head & Ritchey, ILP ifth Third Center lnut Street nati, Ohio 45202 9.2722 endants: n R. Ellis, Esq. f Lamping, ILP ne Street 2500 nati, Ohio 45202 | 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT 69-V EXHIBIT 69-W 4 EXHIBIT 69-W 5 EXHIBIT 69-Y 5 EXHIBIT 69-Z 6 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-BB 7 EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-CD 8 EXHIBIT 69-FF 9 EXHIBIT 69-FF 10 EXHIBIT 69-HH 11 EXHIBIT 69-II 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 97 97 98 98 99 99 100 100 100 100 101 101 102 102 |

- MICHAEL F. HARTINGS, Ph.D.
- 2 a witness herein, having been duly sworn, was examined
- 3 and deposed as follows:
 - EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. ROBERTS:
- 6 Q. Dr. Hartings, my name is Mike Roberts. I
- 7 represent Eric Jeffries. Mr. Jeffries is a plaintiff
- 8 in a lawsuit pending in the United States District
- 9 Court, Southern District of Ohio, Case Number
- 10 C-1-02-351.
- 11 Could you please state and spell your name
- 12 and your residence address, please, sir?
- 13 A. My name is Michael F. Hartings,
- 14 H-a-r-t-i-n-g-s. My residence is 135 Francis Ridge
- 15 Drive, Cincinnati, 45238.
- 16 Q. And how are you presently employed?
- 17 A. As a psychologist at Riverhills Healthcare in
- 18 Cincinnati.
- 19 Q. Do you have any ownership in that entity?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What is your percent ownership in that
- 22 entity?
- 23 A. 1/15, probably, or thereabouts. I'd have to
- 24 count up how many doctors we have.
- Q. You were kind enough, sir, to fax to me a

- 1 Experience?
 - A. Right, the very first entry there.
- Q. This is where you received neuropsychology
- 4 training?
- 5 A. I received training in neuropsychology as
- 6 part of my internship.
 - Q. Tell me about the scope of that.
 - A. Well, at that time, in 1965, neuropsychology
- 9 was not yet a subdiscipline of the field of psychology,
- 10 so there was no formal training available. The
- 11 training that was available was on an incidental
- 12 basis, case by case.
- 13 So we were fortunate to have a
- 14 neuropsychologist there, one of the early ones, and I
- 15 trained with him in the examination of brain-injured
- 16 individuals.
- Q. For that entire internship period?
- 18 A. No. As I recall, there may have been four or
- 19 five cases that I saw during that year.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. Which at the time would have been a lot for
- 22 anyone in the field of neuropsychology.
- 23 Q. What's a neuropsych IME?
- A. Well, an IME is an independent medical
- 25 examination, and that is a evaluation of a condition of
 - 7

- 1 resume last week. It's marked as Exhibit 77. Could
- 2 you take a look at that for me and confirm that this is
- 3 a curriculum vitae of yours?
- 4 A. It is, yes.
- 5 Q. Where did you receive your neuropsychology --
- 6 is that the way you say it -- neuropsychology
- 7 training?
- 8 A. Yes.
 - Q. Where did you receive that?
- 10 A. Well, I received my initial training in
- 11 neuropsychology and my internship at
- 12 Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center in Chicago
- 13 in 1966, '67.
- 14 Q. Is that reflected on your resume?
- 15 A. The internship should be. Yes, it's the
- 16 first thing on page 3.
- 17 Q. The Collateral Training and Experience
- 18 section?
- 19 A. Right.
- Q. Or the staff appointments, page 2 of your
- 21 curriculum vitae, right? Page 3 of the exhibit, page 2
- ? of your vitae?
- A. Well, this is page 1, page 2. It says page 2
- 24 on the top of this, yes.
- 25 O. Where it says Collateral Training and

- 1 a patient from any number of perspectives, whatever is
- 2 the focus of inquiry. I put neuropsychological behind
- 3 it in order to specify that it is an IME which focuses
- 4 on the neuropsychological aspects of the patient's
- 5 condition.
- 6 Q. Have you shared with me all of your formal
- 7 training in neuropsychology?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. What else has been your formal
- 10 training in neuropsychology?
- 11 A. Well, in 1973, when I was on staff at
- 12 Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center, I became
- 13 involved in the evaluation and treatment of persons
- 14 with multiple sclerosis, and for that purpose took the
- 15 training that was then available in neuropsychology by
- 16 virtue of continuing medical education from a variety
- 17 of neuropsychologists around the country.
- Q. Are there certificates or any documents that
- 19 reflect that anywhere that you received?
- 20 A. From 1973?

- Q. Yes, sir.
- 22 A. I don't have them anymore.
 - Q. Okay.
- 4 A. I would have received CEU credit
- 25 documentation, but I haven't kept that.

- Q. I interrupted you, I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- A. And that prepared me to assume the position of associate director of the multiple sclerosis center at Rush Medical College, which was the first in the
- Q. Is that reflected here or not?
- 7 A. I don't know. Probably -- it would have been
- 8 during the time that -- of employment at
- 9 Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center, which
- 10 begins on page 2, the second item from the bottom,
- 11 during those years of '71 to '78. I just didn't add
- 12 that to the list of my responsibilities.
- 13 O. Okay. What else?
- 14 A. And then from 19 -- oh, boy -- from 1973
- 15 until 19 -- well, actually till the present -- well,
- 16 let's go back. To 1973. Usually from '73 until like
- 17 1985, I took all of my CEU in the area of
- 18 neuropsychology.
- 19 And the reason for that was I decided in 1978
- 20 to accept a position here with a group of physicians
- 21 specializing in neurology, which was then known as
- 22 Cincinnati Neurological Associates. And so I needed to
- 23 know all I could about neuropsychology. And at the
- 24 time that was really the only way you could get
- 25 training, because there were no -- late '70s, early
- 9

- 1 simultaneously hold different positions at different
- 2 locations. Since coming to Cincinnati, you've worked
- 3 at HealthSouth Rehab Hospital?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. But your resume also shows that you've been
- 6 working at Riverhills for an overlapping period of
- 7 time?
- 8 A. Right. During my -- my practice group is
- 9 Riverhills Healthcare. They do not assign us duties.
- 10 I was asked to consult at HealthSouth in 1986 and --
- 11 '89, excuse me. And in 1993 I was asked to head up the
- 12 brain injury rehab program, which I did for three
- 13 years. And that's not on the resume.
- 14 Q. There's two references to HealthSouth Rehab
- 15 Hospital on your resume, one under employment, one
- 16 under staff appointments.
 - A. Right.
- 18 Q. You both -- you held those positions from '89
- 19 to '96?

- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. Are they different?
- 22 A. No. You can be on the staff without being in
- 23 the employ of the hospital.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. And you can be in the employ of the hospital
 - 11

- 1 180s, maybe there were one -- Oscar Parsons had a
- 2 program out at Oklahoma, but there were -- it was just
- 3 a time when the specialty was beginning and there were
- 4 very few formal training programs. So most of us,
- 5 people my age, trained by doing their homework and
- 6 attending workshops.
 - Q. Okay. Are you a Chicago native?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you spend a good portion of your early
- 10 career in Chicago, then moved to Cincinnati; is that
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What prompted that move?
- 14 A. I'm a native of Cincinnati. My wife is a
- 15 native of Cincinnati. In 1976, when we started to
- 16 consider coming back here, we had three sons. And I
- 17 didn't want to have to travel an hour to go to a
- 18 baseball game, I didn't want to have to inherit tickets
- 19 to the Chicago symphony, and I didn't want my kids
- 20 spending all summer every summer in camps, so we came
- 21 back to a much more family-friendly choice.
 - Q. Good choice. Are you still married?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I have one job, and I don't have a whole
- 25 bunch of appointments, but it appears that you

- 1 and not be on the medical staff.
- Q. Okay. So you were employed for seven years
- 3 at HealthSouth during the same period of time you were
- 4 employed at Riverhills?
- 5 A. Okay. Technically I was an independent
- 6 contractor at HealthSouth.
 - Q. Okay.
- 8 A. And all of the -- all of the fees that I
- 9 generated through my work at Riverhills -- at
- 10 HealthSouth were paid to my employer, Riverhills
- 11 Healthcare.

15

18

20

- 12 Q. Okay. So you've actively worked for
- 13 Riverhills for the 24 years since 1978 without any
- 14 interruption then, I guess?
 - A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Is that correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
 - Q. And the active work for that 25-year period,
- 19 has that been as a psychologist the whole time?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I mean, is that the right title to you,
- 22 psychologist?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. You never left Riverhills to go to either
- 25 HealthSouth or these positions and staff appointments

1 on page 3?

3 else.

- A. No, I never left Riverhills to go anywhere
- Q. Okay. So we're clear, for the last 25 years,
- 5 you've been working there as a psychologist at
- 6 Riverhills, right?
- A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever been convicted of a
- 9 crime?
- 10
- Q. How did you become involved with Boys' Hope 11
- 12 of Cincinnati?
- A. That's a program that's conducted by -- how 13
- 14 did I become involved? I was asked --
- O. I am also involved, that's why I'm curious.
- A. I was asked in, I don't know what time frame
- 17 it was, the '80s sometime, to help out with the
- 18 evaluation of candidates for the program. Earl
- 19 Kronenberger was the psychologist involved and he was
- 20 cutting back and he asked me to pick up some of it,
- 21 which I did.
- Q. Okay. In the Cincinnati Neuropsychology Peer
- 23 Review Group, you're a founding member of that
- 24 organization?
- 25 A. Uh-huh.

13

- Q. That's a group of seven or eight 2 psychologists in town that get together?
- A. It's fluctuated. It started with three and
- 4 ended with three, if it's over. It probably isn't. We
- 5 still meet from time to time, but not with the
- 6 regularity that we did for the first 23 years.
- Q. The staff psychologist position that you held
- 8 at Bethesda, Christ and Jewish, those all ran from '78
- 9 to '95. Why did you stop that?
- A. Well, health care went south, as you know,
- 11 especially mental health care. And the hospitals
- 12 basically let go of their psychologists. They didn't
- 13 want them, especially due to the turf battles with
- 14 psychiatry. Psychiatry didn't want them in.
- And basically I was on the staff in those 15
- 16 hospitals because psychiatry colleagues would ask me to
- $_{\rm 17}$ go there to see patients and evaluate them. And I
- 18 would do that. And that pretty much ended in the mid
- 19 '90s. So I didn't want to pay my money to stay on the
- Q. Is that why your position at HealthSouth came 21
- 2 to a conclusion as well about the same time?
- A. No. I ended that because, if you've been
- 24 reading about HealthSouth lately, I suspected -- and
- 25 the way they attempted to manage my service, I felt was

- 1 duplications at best, unethical at worst, and I simply
- 2 decided I did not want to deal with those folks
- 3 anymore.
 - Q. Okay.
- MR. ROBERTS: We're off the record.
- (Off the record.)
- 7 BY MR. ROBERTS:
 - Q. Doctor, you were also kind enough to share
- 9 with me identification of testimony that you've
- 10 provided in cases in the last four years, both by way
- 11 of deposition and trial, I presume. And Exhibit 78 is
- 12 the statement -- the identification; is that right?

14

- Which of these cases referenced in Exhibit 78
- 15 are cases in which you testified at trial?
- A. I really have no idea. Okay. '99, none of
- 17 them. 2000, I don't remember. 2001, E. Johnson versus
- 18 E.W. Scripps was a trial testimony.
- Q. Do you know which court that might be in?
 - A. I believe -- it was in Northern Kentucky. I
- 21 believe it was the Federal Court for the District of
- 22 Northern Kentucky.
- Q. And do you know the first name of the Johnson
- 24 individual?
 - A. Esther.

15

- Q. And on behalf of which party did you
- 2 testify?
 - A. Scripps.
 - Q. What was the nature of the lawsuit?
- A. Esther Johnson is a real estate developer in
- 6 Northern Kentucky who was investigated by Eye One or
- 7 Channel 9, Eye One News, whatever it is, particular
- 8 reporter whose name I can't remember. And Esther
- 9 Johnson thought that the reporting on her business was
- 10 slanderous. And she sued the Scripps news service and
- 11 this reporter in particular claiming emotional and
- 12 psychic damage.
 - I examined her on behalf of the defendant and
- 14 rendered an opinion as to her emotional and
- 15 psychological condition and the effects of any -- if
- 16 any, of the news report written about her.
- Q. Do you recall who the lawyers were involved
- 18 in that case?

19

- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Could you share those with me? 20
- A. I mean yes. The lawyer for Scripps Howard
- 22 was Phillip Taliaferro.
 - Do you recall who the other lawyer was?
- A. I do not. 24
- How about the Paul Revere case in 2001?

- Q. Okay. What thought are you conveying when 1
- 2 you use the word disingenuous?
 - A. Not genuine.
 - What does that mean, not genuine?
- A. Not a --
- Q. Not true?
- A. Not a genuine reflection of the capacity of
- 8 his musculature in the upper right extremity to perform
- repetitive actions. 9
- Q. And you base that on -- so you're essentially 10
- 11 saying he was malingering during that test?
- A. If I thought he was malingering, I would say 12
- 13 he was malingering.
- Q. Okay. What's the difference between this
- 15 person was malingering versus saying, my impression was
- 16 this person performed disingenuously? What's the
- 17 difference?
- A. Disingenuous means not genuine. 18
- 19 Q. Okay.
- A. Okay. Now, a not genuine performance can 20
- 21 arise for a variety of reasons, not limited to
- 22 malingering or falsifying.
- What was your impression of the cause on this 23
- instance? 24
- 25 A. I believe Mr. Jeffries believes that he is

- A. Neither. No. They're from July 12th.
- What does she mean when she says in the
- 3 second paragraph, he whined? That's fairly pejorative,
- 4 don't you think?
- A. That came up in Dr. Bastein's report. I
- 6 think if your kids whine and you tell them they're
- 7 whining, is that pejorative?
 - Q. Is that professional?
- A. It's professional to be accurate, especially
- 10 for a psychologist, and to describe behavior the way it
- - Q. So is it professional for you to say
- 13 Mr. Jeffries had some chronic thing that now you want
- 14 to take out of the report?
 - A. Since I made that remark to you I've
- 16 reconsidered it, because chronic means more than six
- 17 months, and --
- Since we took a break, you went to lunch with
- 19 Mr. Ellis, you now want to leave the word chronic in
- 20 your report that you were so insistent should be taken
- 21 out of your report this morning?
- MR. ELLIS: Objection to form.
- Mr. Roberts, I don't care if it's in or out,
- 24 frankly.

25 0. I just want the record to be clear.

123

- 1 impaired and he acts in accord with that belief.
- Q. 69-HH, these are some more undated notes of 3 somebody that are typewritten.
- MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Ellis wants to say that he 4
- doesn't have a copy made available to him in this 5
 - deposition, and he would be accurate were he to
- 7 say that.

6

- Dr. Hartings?
- Q. Who created these notes? 10
- A. Denise Midler. 11
- O. Who is she? 12
- A. She is my office manager and psychometrist. 13
- Q. What's that? 14
- 15 Α. She's an office manager and she is a
- 16 psychometrist.

17

- What does that mean?
- She is trained at the master's level to
- 19 administer, score psychological and neuropsychological
- 20 tests, and she is a licensed social worker with the
- 21 state of Ohio. She's a master's degree person.
 - Q. And were -- go ahead.
 - That's all.
- Are these notes from July 12 or from
- 25 February 6?

- MR. ELLIS: Let him finish.
 - Q. I just want the record to be clear.
- A. Mr. Jeffries' illness is as it is or isn't,
- 4 and whether I call it chronic or not doesn't change the
- 5 onset, date or duration of time since it has occurred.
- 6 So ---
- Very well. Now, you say in your report
- 8 301. -- is it 8 for obsessive compulsive disorder?
- 9 301.4, excuse me. And you say, well, it's kind of like
- 10 that. There's nothing in the DSM-IV that specifically
- 11 quantifies Mr. Jeffries' obsessive compulsive type
- 12 disorder, right? Is that what you said this morning,
- 13 paraphrasing?
 - The DSM combines obsessive and compulsive.
- 15 Does he have 301.4, as defined in the most
- 16 recent version of the DSM?
- 17 A. He has the obsessive aspects of 301.4.
- If he doesn't have 301.4, what does he 18
- 19 have?

14

- A. An obsession.
- What number is that in the DSM-IV? 21
- A. All reality is not reflected in the DSM-IV. 22
- 23 I don't think that the authors of that tome isolated
- 24 obsessive neurosis as it used to be in DSM-II.
- Q. Okay. So the present iteration of DSM, which

- 1 is DSM-IV, doesn't give a number for the type of
 2 obsessive disorder that you think Mr. Jeffries has?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Perfect. I think that's a requirement of the policy.
- 6 MR. ELLIS: Is that a question or a 7 gratuitous comment?
- 8 THE WITNESS: I didn't hear it.
 - MR. ELLIS: Don't worry about it.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I can't answer it.
- 11 MR. ELLIS: It's not important.
- 12 MR. ROBERTS: It's not important.
- 13 BY MR, ROBERTS:

Q

- 14 O. Here's some more notes we've marked 69-II.
- 15 Whose notes are these, when were they taken, what do
- 16 they relate to?
- 17 A. They were taken on or immediately after his
- 18 visit of February 6th, I quess it was. Yes, '03.
- 19 MR. ELLIS: Let the record reflect again
 - there's no copies for me. I'll work with Mike
- 21 here.

20

- 22 MR. ROBERTS: Not me Mike, that Mike.
- 23 A. This was made -- this was a note put on the
- 24 word processor for me by me based upon my notes in
- 25 examining him with the Warrington. I tried as best I
 - 125

- Q. Oh, sorry.
- A. The motor function and the Trails for a combined total time of approximately seven minutes.
- Q. So what were the discrepancies that you
- 5 attempted to reconcile? You told the court in the
- 6 affidavit you needed to --
- 7 A. I think I mentioned them before, earlier in
- 8 my testimony.
 - Q. Did you reconcile them?
- 10 A. Yes.
 - Q. How were they reconciled?
 - A. I think I can answer that without checking on
- 13 the records. They were reconciled as follows: With
- 14 regard to Mr. Jeffries' attention and concentration
- 15 capacity, his scores fluctuate. They go down, they go
- 16 up, depending upon the modality and depending upon
- 17 other things which I was not able to ascertain.
- And on other things which I believe have to
- 19 do with Mr. Jeffries' personality, which I was not --
- 20 which were not related to neurogenic conditions, first
- 21 thing.
- 22 With regard to his mental processing speed,
- 23 again, his mental processing speed also varied. It
- 24 varied from -- depending upon the modality of the test
- 25 and the task that he was required to do, his mental

127

- 1 could to capture exactly what transpired.
- Q. Okay. Have we now gone through all of the
- 3 raw data that you created from your three visits with
- 4 Mr. Jeffries?
- 5 A. It would seem so.
- 6 Q. Are you mindful of anything that we've not
- 7 covered one way or the other today?
- 8 A. No. I'm not.
- 9 Q. We marked as Exhibit 82 your affidavit. And
- 10 you are mindful that this affidavit would be submitted
- 11 to Judge Beckwith or Judge Hogan for their
- 12 consideration whether you could see Mr. Jeffries a
- 13 third time; is that right?
- 14 A. Yeah.
- Q. You say in paragraph 5, Mr. Jeffries will not
- 16 be asked to retake identical tests, right?
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. I guess I changed my mind.
- Q. Let's focus on your report. You still have
- 21 it in front of you, 69?
 - A. For the record, the test that I did repeat
- 23 was only the Trail Making test.
- Q. What about the peg test?
- 25 A. And the -- you didn't let me finish.

- 1 processing speed can be very fast and very accurate, or
- 2 it can be very slow and very inefficient. I believe
- 3 that that is a function of a neurogenic condition, not
- 4 a -- pardon me, I misspoke. I believe that is a
- 5 function of a psychogenic condition, not a neurogenic
- 6 condition.
- 7 And thirdly, I believe that Mr. Jeffries'
- 8 memory is poor and -- but not impaired. And that it is
- 9 poor on the basis of behavioral factors, not on the
- 10 basis of a neurological impairment of the central
- 11 nervous system. And that is how the discrepancies were
- 12 resolved.
 - Q. Okay. Could you read that back to me,
- 14 please?

24

126

- 15 (The record was read.)
- 16 MR. ROBERTS: Thank you.
- 17 BY MR. ROBERTS:
- 18 Q. Dr. Hartings, do you recall the first time we
- 19 spoke on the phone?
- 20 A. I suppose.
- 21 Q. Peter Burrell arranged for the opportunity
- 22 for me to speak with you --
- 23 A. Yes, conference, right.
 - Q. -- when you wanted to take Mr. Jeffries' exam
- 25 for a third occasion. Do you recall that?

2

3

- Q. Okay. Tell me where I'm wrong. 1
- There's another section that you don't have 2
- 3 included here which is what I was basing it on.
 - Q. Which is what?
- A. Somatization disorder undifferentiated. 5
- Well, I have the copy of 300.81.
- If I can see the DSM, I'll show you what I
- 8 mean.
- Q. Okay. Counsel must have known you intended 9
- 10 to do that because he asked for the DSM-IV a minute
- 11

14

- 300.81 is what your report says, right? 12
- Right. 13
 - Q. 300.81 is what I copied as Exhibit 80?
- A. It's not here. I have a copy of it, but it's 15
- 16 not here.
- Q. Sir -- sir, pay attention. 17
- A. Yeah. 18
- 19 Q. Your report says 300.81?
- A. Right. 20
- Q. I'm a lawyer, but we do have in our law firm 21
- 22 this DSM-TV law book in our library.
- A. Right. 23
- Q. So I go to our library, I take the DSM-IV off
- 25 the shelf. I say, Dr. Hartings says he has 300.81, so

- my book back?
- MR. ELLIS: You bet.
 - MR. ROBERTS: Great quy.
- MR. ELLIS: I know.
- Q. Okay. Now, my Exhibit 80 is copies of page
- 6 446, 447, 448, 449 and 450 of the DSM-IV?
- Right.
- Q. And we've marked as Exhibit 83 page 451 and
- 9 452 of the same book?
- 10 A. Apparently not.
 - Q. Well, mine goes 446 to 450. And it goes
- 12 300.81 to 300.82. Yours picks up at 451 and goes to
- 13 452. So it's not the same book?
- A. Apparently not. I think this might help
- 15 clarify --

16

17

23

- Q. Okay.
- A. -- the discrepancy. If you look here,
- 18 300.82, undifferentiated somatoform disorder.
- 19 Apparently in some edition of the DSM-III, the powers
- 20 that be increased this digit by one, but it's the same
- 21 diagnosis.
 - Q. DSM-III?
 - A. Or IV, excuse me.
- Q. You didn't clarify things for me. Your 301.4
- 25 here -- excuse me, your 300.81, your axis I diagnosis

139

- 1 I turn to page 46 of the DSM-IV and there I have
- 2 300.81.
- A. Right.
- Q. Did I do something wrong?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What did I do wrong?
- A. If I can have a minute, I'll show you. The
- 300.81 that I used for the diagnosis is right there.
- Q. You used -- you're handing me another page
- 10 from your materials that I've not been provided
- 11 earlier. I need to make a copy of that file, too,
- 12 since we've pulled out a couple things that don't exist
- 13 in the file that was given to me previously.
- A. And the reason for that is that, as I said 14
- 15 before, I continue to work on the file and things get
- 16 added.
- Q. Okay. 17
- A. If you want a copy, you're most welcome. 18
- 19 That would be wonderful.
- You pulled this out and you highlighted this. 20
- 21 What's the highlighting for on -- let's mark this.
 - A. It's to highlight the basis upon which I made the diagnosis of 300.81.
- Okay. This is going to be Exhibit 83. 24
- MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Ellis, could I please have 25

- 1 on March 15 of 2003, that's not a 300.81 that's Exhibit
- 2 80?
- A. No. 3
 - Q. You're talking about something else?
- A. I'm talking about 300.81 that is in this
- 6 book, which apparently is listed in your book as
- 7 300.82.
- You have a -- you are basing your report on a
- 9 version of the DSM that predates the one that I've been
- 10 using?
- 11 That I have in my office, yes.
- 12 A prior edition to the one that I shared with
- 13 you?

15

17

18

21

- It's a DSM-IV. I don't know. 14
 - MR. ELLIS: It may be subsequent, Mike. I
- don't know whether it's DSM-IVR or what. There 16
 - are multiple versions of that book.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You say in your report that it's somatization
- 20 disorder, which is what my Exhibit 80 calls 300.81?
 - A. Right.
- Q. You're saying your report should really say 22
- 23 300.82, undifferentiated somatoform disorder?
 - A. Well, yes.
- Q. It's a different diagnosis to a different 25

- 1 number?
- 2 A. No, it's the same number. It's the same
- 3 number in my book. It's a different kind of
- 4 somatization disorder, and this is the one that
- 5 Mr. Jeffries --
- 6 Q. But you don't say --
- A. No, I didn't say it in there.
- 8 Q. You don't say undifferentiated somatoform
- 9 disorder in your report?
- 10 A. I don't, no.
 - You use the exact same terminology that
- 12 corresponds with 300.81 in my book?
- 13 A. Okay. That's true.
- 14 Q. Have you changed your diagnosis since March
- 15 2003?

- 16 A. Not at all.
- 17 Q. So I just throw my Exhibit 80, 300.81, out?
- 18 It doesn't mean anything in Mr. Jeffries' case?
- 19 A. 300.82 is the one that means something in
- 20 Mr. Jeffries' case.
- 21 MR. ELLIS: In your book.
- 22 A. In your book.
- 23 Q. Okay. May I look at your --
- 24 A. Sure.
- 25 Q. Have you reviewed Dr. Shear's report prior to

•

- 1 sir?
- A. That may be. She may have the latest,
- 3 hottest, off-the-press version of DSM-IV TRR
- 4 whatever.
- Q. So she was mistaken, then, to take your
- 6 report and read it for what it says and that is that
- 7 you were diagnosing 300.81 when really you were
- 8 diagnosing 300.82?
 - MR. ELLIS: Objection to form.
- 10 Q. Is that right?
- 11 A. I was diagnosing out of the manual I have in
- 12 my office, 300.81 somatoform disorder, as described
- 13 there and as fits Mr. Jeffries like a glove.
- Q. Okay. What's the age of onset for this
- 15 glove-fitting new diagnosis that you have?
- 16 A. Can be any time.
 - MR. ELLIS: Objection to form, new. Not what
- 18 he testified.

17

25

- 19 MR. ROBERIS: Well, it's new to me as of
 - about five minutes ago.
- 21 A. It specifically does not have to occur before
- 22 the age of 30.
- 23 Q. That's convenient.
- 24 A. It's true, too.
 - MR. ELLIS: Objection to counsel's comments

143

- 1 today?
- 2 A. Several times.
- Q. Okay. Have you spoken to Mr. Ellis about her
- 4 report?
- 5 A. Once.
- 6 Q. Okay. Did you ever give him your written
- 7 impressions of her report?
- 8 A. Yes.
 - Q. You know that she commented that your
- 10 diagnosis of 300.81 is -- just can't be because
- 11 Mr. Jeffries doesn't have any sexual symptoms, right?
- 12 You're mindful of that criticism?
- 13 A. I know that's what she says, based upon her
- 14 assumption of a DSM description that I didn't use.
- 15 That's the one that describes Mr. Jeffries.
- 16 Q. Okay. She assumed that when you say he
- 17 suffers from 300.81, as a psychologist you're up on the
- 18 new versions of DSM-IV, and so when you write a report
- 19 in March 2003 saving that a person has 300.81, another
- 20 psychologist should reasonably rely on that to mean
- 21 300.81, the most recent version, right?
- MR. ELLIS: Objection.
 - A. I can't be accountable for what she relies on
- 24 or doesn't rely on.
- 25 Q. Well, she was more up to speed than you were,

- and testimony.
- Q. Sir, you would agree with me that the level
- 3 of Mr. Jeffries' cognitive impairment would be shocking
- 4 in someone that was suffering only from somato --
- 5 somatoform disorder, right?
- A. No.
- Q. Okay. Somatoform disorders are also -- don't
- 8 exhibit themselves generally independent of some other
- 9 disorder, true?
- 10 A. I don't understand your question.
- 11 Q. Is it normal for someone to have this type of
- 12 significant cognitive impairment with just somatoform
- 13 disorder without some other related disorder?
- 14 A. Is it normal, did you say?
- 15 Q. Is it common?
- 6 A. Common, It is not uncommon. I have seen
- 17 many cases over the years where people suffering from
- 18 somatoform disorder of one kind or another and who
- 19 believe that they are very sick perform cognitively
- 20 much worse than Mr. Jeffries --
 - O. Somatoform --

- 22 A. -- without any medical findings that
- 23 substantiate their medical illness.
- Q. Okay. Somatoform disorder is something that
- 25 is fairly uncommon on a percentagewise basis in our